MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

FIFTY-FIRST DAY.

Saturday, 5th October 1957.

The House met in the Legislative Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at Forty Minutes past Eight of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. R. KANTHI, B.A. LL.B. in the Chair).

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Grants from the Central Government for the Sericulture Industry.

*Q.—927. Sri S. R. RAMAIAH (Hoskote).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the amount received by way of grants from the Central Government for the sericulture industry during the last two years;

(*b*) the amount distributed to the various items out of this;

(*c*) whether there is any return on the capital invested;

(*d*) the reasons for not starting a silk cocoon market so far;

(*e*) whether they are aware that the income both to the Government and the ryots is dwindling on this account?

*A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(*a*)

Year	*Grant*	*Loan*
	Rs.	Rs.
1955-56	10,11,515	...
1956-57	8,66,821-15-3	10,62,250

(*b*) *Vide* Statement appended.

(*c*) This is development expenditure and not an investment. Return therefore cannot be assessed.

(*d* There are already 11 (eleven) Cocoon markets functioning.

(*e*) No.

Statement showing the Grants-in-aid and Loans given to State Government so far for their Schemes of Development of Sericulture.

Nature of each scheme for which grant was paid	*Amounts of grants paid in each of the years*	
	1955–56	1956–57
	Rs.	Rs.
1. Research of Silkworm Nutrition ...	8,095	15,000
2. Starting of a Mulberry Graft Nursery at Channapatna.	6,466	19,900 5,809
3. Establishment of Voluntary Cocoon Markets.	...	1,500
4. Establishment of Statistical Section	...	3,105
5. Import of Mulberry Saplings ...	...	...
6. Establishment and Development of Research Station.	5,20,390	15,100 1,62,000

*For previous supplementaries on this Question see p.p. 2341-43...Vol III—No. 49.

(SRI C. M. POONACHA)

Nature of each scheme for which grant was paid	*Amounts of grants paid in each of the years*	
	1955-56	1956-57
	Rs.	Rs.
7. Construction of Automatic Cocoon Machine.	...	
8. Supply of Chandrikes for distribution for Silk Worm Seed Rearers.	...	20,000
9. Trials on improved model Charkas ...	...	...
10. Supply of Refrigerators to Graineurs for production of Cross Breed Seed.	50,000	...
11. Grants for purchase of Electric Incubators.	...	...
12. Establishment of Foreign Seed Station, K.R. Hills.	16,500	18,000 8,860
13. Shifting of Silk Conditioning and Testing House from Channapatna to Bangalore, and construction of a Building.	6,000	12,000 10,000
14. Development and production of Basic Seed in Kunigal, Sugganahalli and Doddaballapur.	...	47,300
15. Scheme for preparation of Mulberry Grafts.	...	9,200
16. Improvement of Ericulture and Sericulture in two Taluks of Bellary District.	...	1,000
17. Scheme for Establishment for Mulberry Graft Nursery in the State.	...	20,000
18. Scheme for providing facilities on the B.R. Hills for increasing the production of Univoltine and Bivoltine Seed Cocoons.	...	46,425 3,000 8,860 7,000
19. Scheme for the production of Foreign Race Basic Seed Cocoons at Lalgondanahalli.	...	11,500
20. Scheme for the establishment of Multivoltine (Mysore Race originial D.F.Ls.) Silkworm Stations at Kunigal, Bidadi and Doddaballapur.	3,20,000	9,000
21. Scheme for the construction of a building and sinking of a well in Doddaballapur.	...	...
22. Supply of Cellules to Aided Graineurs	...	...
23. Grant subsidy to dig wells to Rearers in Kunigal, Doddaballapur and Bidadi areas.	50,000	25,000

Nature of each scheme for which grant was paid	*Amounts of grants paid in each of the years*	
	1955-56	1956-57
	Rs.	Rs.
24. Scheme for the demonstration centre for Chawki Rearing.	22,964	...
25. Scheme for providing Refrigerators *cum* Incubators for hibernation and incubation of Silkworm Eggs in the basic Seed Station and Central Farm.	11,100	4,200
26. Establishment of Training School ...	...	20,000
27. Modernisation of Government Silk Filature, Kanakapura.	...	62,250 Subs 62,250 Loan
28 Establishment of Raw Silk Marketing Society.	...	10,00,000 Loan
29. Development of Sericulture in Coorg.	...	60,500
30. Purchase of Cocoons from Agriculturists of Belgaum.	...	13,630
31. Supply of Chandrikes to Agriculturists free of cost.	...	1,500
32. Establishment of Nursery Stations in Bombay State.	...	24,470
33. Improvement of Manure in Bombay State.	...	2,500
34. Rearing of Chawki worms in Bombay State.	...	3,535
35. Belligatti Tenant Farming Society, Belligatti.	...	13,133
36. Establishment of Collective Chawki Rearing Units, Kollegal.	...	13,700
37. Storing and hiring of mountages ...	...	6,000
38. Providing irrigation facilities and manuring to Sericulturists in Madras.	...	7,000
39. Establishment of a Central Mulberry Nursery in Madras.	...	51,750
40. Improvement of Seed Production in Kollegal.	...	86,550
41. Establishment of Chawki Rearing Centre, Kamagorai.	...	16,544 3 3
42. Collective Chawki Rearing Unit ...	...	...
43. Replacement of Country Charkas by improved Domestic Basins.	...	...
44. Sinking of wells in Rain-fed areas.	...	...
45. Organisation of Farmers' Day in the Sericultural Areas of the State.	...	...
Total ...	10,11,515	19,29,071 15 3*

*(of this Rs. 10,62,250 Loan and Rs. 8,66,821-15-3 Grant)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ, 1955-56 ರಲ್ಲಿ ರೂ. 10,11,515, 1956-57 ರಲ್ಲಿ ರೂ. 8,66,821-15-3, ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಹಣವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ರೋಗರಹಿತ ಮೊಟ್ಟೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ದೂರುಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇವೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಸದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ರೋಗರಹಿತ ಮೊಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಸ್ಪಪರಿಪೂರ್ಣತೆಗೊಳಿಸಲಕ್ಕೆ, ಸೀಡ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಕೋಲಾರ ಡಿವಿಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಎರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—As a supplementary to (*b*) regarding the establishment of the Central Mulberry Centre at Madras, I want to know whether it is in Madras City or in Madras State where the Central Government have thought of establishing this Mulberry Centre ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—In that part which belonged to Madras area—Kollegal area.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—I want to know the exact place where it would be established.

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is Kollegal. That exact place will be selected after due consideration in that area.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಸಿಲ್ಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಂಟನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂಥ ಎವರಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪೊಬಲಗನ್ನೂ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಲಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಮತ್ತು ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಣವೆಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ 10 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗ್ರಾಂಟು, 10 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಬ್‌ಸಿಡಿ, ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಸಬ್‌ಸಿಡಿ ಆರು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿನಷ್ಟು ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೊವೈಡ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಹಿಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಏವರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—1956-57ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಸಿಲ್ಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರಿಂದ 43 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇಷ್ಟನ್ನೂ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಲಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—1956-57 ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ರೂ 8,66,821-15-3 ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ರೂ. 10.62,250 ಲೋನ್ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ 43 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಯಾವುದು ? ತಾವು ಈಗ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿಗೂ, ಹಿಂದೆ ಹೇಳಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದಲ್ಲಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ನನಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ತಿಳಿಯಾಗಲಲ್ಲ.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—You just now admitted that the Central Silk Board has offered an amount of 44 lakhs of rupees and we could not utilise the whole amount. But you have said here in the answer that, in the year 1956, we have received only 8,66,821. May I know the reason for this divergence ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—These are actuals, Sir, that is, the amount that we utilised during the year is mentioned.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know the reason why you were unable to utilise the whole amount allotted for the purpose ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Firstly there was the difficulty in building works programme in Channapatna due to non-availability of certain materials due to paucity of executive staff and similar other things. Secondly, non-availability of staff and materials for sinking wells in various places. These were the main reasons for not being able to utilise the entire provision made by the Central Silk Board during that year.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಕಕೂನ್ ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟ್‌ಗಳು ಹನ್ನೊಂದು ಇವೆ ಎಂದು ಜವಾಬ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಮಾರ್ಕೆಟ್‌ಗಳು ಎಲ್ಲಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಕುಣಿಗಲ್, ದೊಡ್ಡ ಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ, ಬಡದಿ, ರಾಮನಗರಂ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಕನಕಪುರದಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಕಕೂನ್ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗಳು ಕೆಲವುಕಡೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ, ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಇದೆ. ಅದರ ನಿವಾರಣೆಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಕನಕಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಕಟ್ಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

---

* Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, I want to know why no allotment was made to replace the country charkas by improved domestic basins ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Some allotment is already made and the work is on hand now for the preparation of a new model of domestic charkas to replace the old country charkas.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—But according to the figures given in answer to that question, no allotment is shown to have been made for the purpose ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—This is just by way of personal information I am furnishing. That particular matter is still under correspondence with the Central Mulberry Board and the Central Silk Board. One Lakh of rupees will be made available from the Central Silk Board in this regard. The only point is the exact model to be evolved for the manufacture of the new domestic charkas. That correspondence is still going on with the Central Silk Board and it is hoped that their approval will be secured in due course.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the money allotted *viz.* Rs. 7,000, for providing sericultural facilities in Madras area is insufficient ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That may be the view of my Hon'ble friend. It would be looked into if such a difficulty is experienced in that part of the country.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ರೂ. 43,00,000 ಅಲಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ತಾವು ಮೊದಲು ಪ್ರಪೋಸಲ್ಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ, ಅಥವಾ ಅವರಾಗಿ ಅವರೇ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ಸಹಾಯ ದ್ರವ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದರೂ, ನಾವು ಪ್ರಪೋಸಲ್ಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದಮೇಲೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಿ, ಅನಂತರ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಪದ್ಧತಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಸಿಲ್ಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್‌ನವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ, non-availability of materials ನಿಂದ ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ವರ್ಕ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಮ್ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ವರ್ಕ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಕೆಲಸ ಎಷ್ಟು ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಗಿಯಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಇತ್ತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—1956-57 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಗಿಯಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಮೆಟೀರಿಯಲ್ಸ್, ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್, ಸ್ಟೀಲ್, ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಒದಗದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಅದನ್ನು ಆ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ತಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಕೆ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ, ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್‌ದಾರರಿಗೂ ಅಗ್ರಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಇರುವುದೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಹೌದು. ಆದರೆ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೂ ಕೂಡ ಕೋಟ ಅಲಾಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಬರುತ್ತಯೇ ವಿನಾ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಎಂದು ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know whether Government are in a position to let the House know, out of the grants made available by the Central Silk Board how much has been actually spent during the year 1955-56?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I think it is furnished in the list.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know whether the amount not spent has lapsed or still remains ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I would like to have notice because the figures given by me are for the current year. If the Hon'ble Member requires information for all the previous years I would like to have notice. No doubt, the amount spent is much less than the overall allotment that was made.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I want to know whether the amount unspent during 1955-56 has lapsed or whether it still remains with the Government for being spent on the various schemes ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Most of the allotments are continued from year to year because we explain our difficulties and request that they be allowed to be taken over for the next year. As most of these schemes relate to development programmes even the Central Silk Board is willing to allow the utilisation of the grants so made in the coming year and so the grants do not lapse.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know whether Government have made any amount available to the sericulturists for the sinking of wells in the State ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ತಃಖ್ತೆಯ 43ನೆಯ ಐಟಂ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕಳೆದ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಹಣವನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೇಕೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಸ ನಲ ಕರಣೆಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದವು. ಆದರೆ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ವರ್ಕ್‌ಷಾಪನ್ನು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಅನುಕೂಲಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—Is there any Advisory Committee for developing the sericulture industry in Mysore State; if so, what is the personnel of that Committee?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—My friend must be knowing that there is the Silk Sericulture Advisory Committee to advise Government on certain matters.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—What are the names of the members of this Committee?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I think the names are published in the Gazette, I would draw the attention of the Hon'ble Member to the relevant publication.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether the Central financial assistance has been utilised for restoring the Silk Filature factories at Santhemarahalli, Kuderu and other places in the State; if so, what is the extent of the financial assistance sought for and utilised; how many factories are still to be restored with the aid of such assistance?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Some of these factories are not working at the moment for various reasons. The possibility of reviving these factories is under active consideration of the Government.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether there is a proposal to expand the silk filatures at T. Narsipur town; if so, what is the cost of that scheme, when was the proposal made and whether it has been accepted by the Central Silk Board?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—It is not expansion but replacement of the old filature basins because their output is less and the cost of production is very high. If we are to replace them with modern filatures that would itself improve the output and reduce the cost of production. That is the basis on which we are proceeding.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—May I know whether Government is aware that recruitment of employees in the silk filatures at T. Narsipur and other places is not made in accordance with the rules of Government; if so, what action Government proposes to take to regularise such recruitment in the interest of the people in that area?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Government is keeping in view the rules guiding recruitment of personnel for the industries that are under Government. In this particular respect also we are keeping to those rules regarding recruitment of personnel, but in unavoidable cases when certain personnel do not come forward in the required proportion, then some variations or relaxations of the rules might have been made.

Sri R. NAGAN GOWDA.—From page 19 of the list it is noticed that only Rs. 1,000 has been given for improvement of ericulture and sericulture in two taluks of Bellary District. I take it that this is merely a token grant that is given. Will Government take up the work seriously?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I will look into that particular point.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಪೇಜು 18ರಲ್ಲಿ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯ ಹೆಡ್ಡಿಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಯಾಯ ಐಟಂನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಷ್ಟಷ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಹೌದು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—19ನೇ ಪುಟ-(28)ನೇ ಐಟಂನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಈ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿವರ್ಗವನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲಾಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ವಿಚಾರಗಳು ಅಡಕವಾಗಿವೆ. ಒಂದು ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಪೀರಿಯೆಡ್ಡಿಗೆ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು, ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಈವರೆಗೆ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳೆಂದು ಎರಡು ಭಾಗ ವಿದೆ. ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಪೂರೈಸಿವೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಬಾಕಿ ಇವೆ. ಅವು ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ಲಾನ್ ಪೀರಿಯೆಡ್ಡಿನೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತವಾಗುತ್ತವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ತಃಖ್ತೆಯ (23)ನೆಯ ಐಟಂನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಈವರೆಗೆ ತಾವು ಎಷ್ಟು ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ರೈತರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಮತ್ತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಬಾವಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಧ ಕೆಲಸ ಮುಗಿದಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸಿರ್ಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರೇನಾದರೂ ಪುನಃ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಚ.—ಹತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಲದ ರೂಪವಾಗಿಯೂ ಮತ್ತು ಹತ್ತು

ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ರೂಪವಾಗಿಯೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is this grant sufficient to complete the incomplete work pending before Government since last year ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—This is in addition to the carry-over of the previous schemes which have not been completed and which are at various stages of completion.

---

9 A.M.

Additional Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Executive Posts in Motor Vehicles Department.

Q.—1090: Sri M. LINGE GOWDA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of additional Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Executive posts created in the Motor Vehicles Department after the State Reorganisation ;

(*b*) the qualifications prescribed for the candidates to be promoted or appointed, and the duties and responsibilities proposed to be entrusted to them ;

(*c*) whether the Public Service Commission was consulted before making temporary arrangements, if any, for filling up the said posts ;

(*d*) whether it has come to their notice that the legitimate claims of the ministerial staff have been ignored in the promotion to the said posts and, if so, the action taken by Government in this behalf ?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(*a*) (*i*) Two Regional Transport Officers (Gazetted),

(*ii*) One Assistant Regional Transport Officer (Gazetted),

(*iii*) Six Supervisors (Non-gazetted), and

(*iv*) One Police Inspector (Non-gazetted).

(*b*) The question of prescribing qualification and other allied matters for promotion and recruitment is under consideration.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) No. Because the promotions made in respect of (i), (ii) and (iii) of Clause (*a*) above are purely provisional. The legitimate claims, if any, of the ministerial staff of the Department will be considered after the finalisation of the Cadre and Recruitment Rules of the Motor Vehicles Department which is under consideration of Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—1939ನೆಯ ಆಕ್ಟಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಮೋಟಾರು ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ಸ್ ಆಕ್ಟನ್ನು ಅಮೆಂಡುಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಜುಡಿಷಿಯಲ್ ಕ್ವಾಲಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ನು ಇರುವವರನ್ನು ರೀಜನಲ್ ಟ್ರಾನ್ಸ್‌ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸರನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್. ನನಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I rise on a point of order, Sir. The other day the Chair was pleased to give a ruling that in the absence of the concerned Minister, the Deputy Ministers are entrusted with the responsibility of answering interpellations. The concerned Minister is present today and the answer in the printed order stands in his name. I cannot therefore understand how the Deputy Minister is answering the question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When I stated that the Deputy Minister could reply on behalf of the Minister, in the absence of the Minister, it did not mean that the Deputy Minster could not answer when the Minister was present. The Deputy Minister can also reply on behalf of the Minister when the Minister is present. Actually, in the Lok Sabha and other Assemblies, I have observed that the Deputy Minister replies when the concerned Minister is present. The Minister intervenes only when there is a difficulty. Otherwise, the Deputy Minister replies.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I do not question the ruling. Because a ruling had been given the other day, I just invited your attention to it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—My previous ruling does not contradict my present decision.